This is Exhibit C to the Affidavit of Oleh Szklar

SWORN BEFORE ME IN the City of Montreal, Province of	)	
Quebec, Canada, on this <b>68</b> day of August, 2007.	)	0/1/2 /2 /1
	) )	Oleh SZKLAR
A Commissioner, etc.	)	
Attorney, Nember of the Bar Josephe #174463-8		
of grietne #174463-8		

Brigide Mattar bmattar@smart-biggar.ca

Our Ref: 86136-12

September 27, 2002

### ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

This document contains attorney-client communications and attorney-client work-product materials for which privilege and immunity is claimed. This document is to be held in confidence.

Mr. Michel Martineau CANAC INC.
3950 Hickmore Street St-Laurent, Quebec H4T 1K2

Re: Report of the Intellectual Property Meeting of September 19, 2002

Dear Michel,

On September 19, 2002, the IP committee meeting took place at the offices of CANAC INC. The participants were Brigide Mattar and Stephan Georgiev of Smart & Biggar, and Michel Martineau, Oleh Szklar and Fred Horst of CANAC INC.

The purpose of the meeting was to further explore technical developments at CANAC INC. Several topics were discussed during the meeting of which the principal ones were as follows:

# 1) CANAC's Pending Patent Application and Possible Design Around Considerations

### 1.1.) The IR addressing Patent Application

CANAC INC. currently has a pending patent application on the manner in which the addresses associated to the various communication components are exchanged. More precisely, the existing patent application describes a first communication link between a remote control unit and a locomotive for transmitting from the remote control unit to the

directed to the above described subject matter as soon as possible. Kindly advise us if we are authorized to proceed.

#### 2.6) Lantern on OCU

Fred Horst has indicated, in order to limit the number of objects that an operator has to carry when transporting the operator controller units (BELTPACK®), that a lantern be integrated in the OCU. Such a lantern may be activated based on the amount of light in the surrounding area where the remote control unit is or alternatively may be activated by an actuator. Given the rather simple nature of this improvement, we recommend that CANAC examine the importance of this improvement to the business prior to authorising us to file a patent application. We believe that such patent applications will entail significant prosecution costs as we anticipate strong objections from the patent office.

It is noted, however, that the aesthetic appearance of BELTPACK® remote control unit would likely be modified if the lantern is added. Consequently, once the design of this alternative BELTPACK® unit is completed, we recommend that you authorise us to examine it in order to determine whether the filing of an industrial design application would be appropriate. We wish to remind you that the filing of an industrial design application should be done prior to the disclosure of the design to the customer. We recommend revisiting this item at a later dated at a subsequent IP committee meeting.

## 2.7) Safety System for the Remote Control Unit Using Biometric Data

Oleh and Fred have indicated that increased safety requirements will likely be a topic of concern in remote control technology. It has been suggested that various biometrics, including retinal scan, voice recognition, fingerprints, voice coded passwords and other suitable biometric parameters, may be used in order to properly identify the operator of the remote control unit. Such identification would ensure, for instance, that only authorised personnel can control a given locomotive from a given remote control platform. In addition to the safety attributed by such precautions, the identification of the cause of certain damages may also be more easily effected. For instance, if damage occurs during the shift of a certain individual, the use of biometrics would most certainly place the individual where the damage occurred. In addition, it is our understanding that, in the long term, it is desirable for an operator to be assigned to a specific portable remote control unit. This is desirable because operators tend not to respect equipment when a certain piece of equipment is not assigned to them specifically. This results in a shorter life span for the equipment. However, when operators are assigned a specific piece of equipment, they tend to respect it more and therefore the equipment generally lasts longer. Such biometric security features would ensure that a certain piece of equipment can only be used by its owner. It is our recommendation that this item be revisited at a

# 2.8) Remote Control System Including Speaker Verification Security Feature

One of the possible embodiments using biometric testing is the use of speaker